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Background

• Texas Higher Education Coordination Board
• Texas Course Redesign Project
• What is THECB LOR?
  – Phase I: Proof-of-Concept
    • May – August 2007
    • Demonstration of technology and functionality
    • Version 1 LOR
  – Phase II: Research & Development
    • September 2007 – August 2009
    • Version 2 (October 2008)
    • Version 3 (May 2009)
• Courses and course content
  – Developed by separate projects
  – No standard or common logical structure
• Quality of content
  – Competitive grant process for redesigned courses
• Intended audience
  – Instructors/instructional designers at public institutions of higher education in Texas
• Intellectual property
  – Belongs to Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board
• Phase I: Proof-of-Concept
  – Four factors for integrating learning objects into educational settings (Campbell, 2003)
    • Interoperability
    • Educational properties
    • Technological issues
    • Cultural issues
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Key Concepts

- **Learning object (LO)**
  - A digital resource (simple or complex) that can be used to support learning

- **Granularity of LOs**
  - Fine and coarse grain
  - Size/chunk of material

- **Metadata record**
  - Information about the resource

- **Metadata scheme**
  - Pieces of information that comprise the record
Key Concepts (cont.)

• **Reusing**
  – Acquiring a learning object and using it as it currently exists without any modification and additional effort by a user of the object.

• **Repurposing**
  – Acquiring one or more learning objects and using them as a basis for a new learning object either through modification of the original, recombination of multiple learning objects, or any other actions that are carried out on the learning objects to serve a similar or new purpose compared to the intentions of the original creator of the learning objects.
Phase I: Project Objectives

1. Decompose a THECB redesigned course in discrete learning objects;

2. Identify and implement an appropriate metadata scheme to describe and manage the learning objects in the repository;

3. Enable search and browse capabilities in the repository to support end user tasks of finding, identifying, selecting, and accessing the learning objects; and

4. Provide course content in packages to import into learning management systems.
Decomposition of Course Content

- Goal: Demonstrate various levels of granularity that can be considered for LOR
- A redesigned U.S. History I course
  - Five levels of granularity
    - Level 1-Free-standing Learning Object
    - Level 2-Topic
    - Level 3-Lesson
    - Level 4-Unit
    - Level 5-Complete course
  - Structural levels served to guide levels of granularity
  - Other course materials
  - IMS content packages
Disciplines and Courses

Shown below is a list of disciplines and the courses and sub-disciplines within them. Click on a name to view that discipline or course home page.

- **History Courses**
  - U.S. History
    - U.S. History 1
      - A. Course Structure
      - B. Course-wide Assessments
      - C. Complete Course
      - D. Course Units
      - E. Course Lessons and Related Assessments
      - F. Course Topics
      - G. Case Studies and Case Study Teaching Guides
      - H. Free-Standing Learning Objects
      - I. IMS Content Packages
Metadata Application Profile

• Comparison of Learning Object Repository Metadata Applications
  – 24 learning object repositories listed by the Florida Distance Learning Consortium (2007)

• THECB Metadata Application Profile
  – Combination of DC, LOM, and GEM elements
  – 37 elements
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metadata Element</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intended Educational Audience (dc.audience.educationLevel)</td>
<td>Course Structure (dc.relation.isPartOf)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Author's Affiliation (dc.contributor.affiliation)</td>
<td>IMS Content Package (dc.relation.uri)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Authors (dc.contributor.author)</td>
<td>Rights (dc.rights)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Contributors (dc.contributor.other)</td>
<td>Access and Use Rights (dc.rights.accessRights)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date Published (dc.date.dateAccepted)</td>
<td>Access and Use License (dc.rights.license)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date Accessioned (dc.date.dateAccessioned)</td>
<td>Rights Holder (dc.rights.rightsHolder)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date Available (dc.date.dateAvailable)</td>
<td>Subjects (dc.subject)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date Issued (dc.date.dateIssued)</td>
<td>Title (dc.title)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summary (dc.description.abstract)</td>
<td>Other Title (dc.title.alternative)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Learning Object’s Content (dc.description.tableOfContents)</td>
<td>Learning Object Type (dc.type)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Learning Object Description (dc.description.uri)</td>
<td>Individual Cataloger (gem.cataloging.individualCataloger)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Media Format (dc.format)</td>
<td>Domain Cataloger (gem.cataloging.individualCatalogerDomain)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size (dc.format.extent)</td>
<td>Discipline and Subdiscipline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Format (dc.format.mimetype)</td>
<td>Difficulty Level (lom.educational.difficulty)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(dc.identifier.uri)</td>
<td>Interactivity Level (lom.educational.interactivityLevel)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instructional Method (dc.instructionalMethod)</td>
<td>Interactivity Type (lom.educational.interactivityType)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creation and Ownership Information (dc.provenance)</td>
<td>Technical Requirement (lom.technical.requirement)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Publisher (dc.publisher)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Functional Requirements

Functional requirements address the behaviors of the repository application and types of interactions the application should support. The functional requirement were categorized to address:

- Administration
- Submission of learning objects
- End user access to learning objects
• Administration
  – managing user accounts, setting permissions, implementing metadata schema, etc.

• Submission of learning objects
  – creating metadata records for submitted items, uploading files associated with the items

• End user access to learning objects
  – browse indexes, simple search, advanced search, presentation of results
Technology for the LOR Application

- DSpace version 1.4.2, an open source software repository platform developed by MIT Libraries and Hewlett-Packard Labs
- Front end: JSP Interface, served by Apache Tomcat
- Back end: PostgreSQL database server, Apache Lucene search engine
- Handle server to provide identifiers, like: http://hdl.handle.net/2188/217
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Submission of Learning Objects and Metadata Creation

Customized:

- Metadata registry
  - DC: http://dublincore.org/documents/dcmi-terms/
  - LOM: http://www.unt.edu/wmoen_lom
  - GEM: http://www.thegateway.org/about/documentation/metadatraElements
- Submission pages
- Submission workflow
- Controlled vocabulary tool
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Custom controlled vocabulary tool

Find appropriate terms from the History vocabulary list to describe topics, locations, time periods, and people. Click the "Add Subject to box 1" and click the term which you want to input into the first box. If there is no appropriate term, use the "Add Subject to box 2" and click the term which you want to input into the second box.

The steps are the same to add a second subject term. Subject terms are recorded in a comma-separated list.

Enter a succinct summary of the learning object. This summary will be used to generate a title and a short description for the object.

Texas Center for Digital Knowledge, University of North Texas
End User Interaction with Repository

• **Browse capabilities by:**
  – Disciplines and Courses, Titles, Subjects, Date Published

• **Search capabilities by:**
  – Title, Subject, Learning Object Type, Media Format, Intended Educational Audience, Instructional Method, and Author Affiliation.

• **Full-text searches of textual learning objects**
End User Interaction with Repository (cont.)

- Viewing and downloading

  Educational Interactivity Type: Mixed
  Educational Interactivity Level: Low
  Typical Learning Time: 2.5 hours
  Difficulty Level: Low

  Creation and Ownership Information: Created by the University of North Texas with funding by the Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board as part of its Texas Course Redesign Program. The intellectual property of this leaning object belongs to the Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board.

  Date Published: Aug. 2007
  IMS Content Package: [http://hdl.handle.net/2188/183](http://hdl.handle.net/2188/183)
  URI: [http://hdl.handle.net/2188/182](http://hdl.handle.net/2188/182)
  Appears in Courses: E. Course Lessons and Related Assessments

  Files in This Item:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>File</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Format</th>
<th>View/Open</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>00_unitk_lesson_one.htm</td>
<td>main page</td>
<td>834 B</td>
<td>HTML</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Testing and Evaluation

- **System-level functionality assessment**
  - Check against functional requirements set by team

- **Use-level assessment**
  - Real users engaging with the LOR
  - Use scenarios to direct users’ engagement with the functions of the LOR
    - Tested functions assumed to be those needed by typical repository users (search, browse, preview, etc.)
    - Relatively simple to moderately complex use scenarios guided the tasks carried out
  - System level assessment by users, elements of usability assessment
Future Research

• Phase II: Research and Development
  – Enhance the technical infrastructure
  – Revise the metadata elements
  – Identify user requirements and subsequent usability testing against those requirements
  – Identify policy issues related to intellectual property when learning object are modified by those reusing/repurposing
  – Administration of the production system
  – Distributed submission of items into the repository
  – Machine-processes to assist metadata generation
Learning Object Repository

This repository contains a wide range of learning objects created as part of the Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board's Texas Course Redesign Project.

Search the Repository

Enter some text in the box below to search the Repository.

Communities in the Repository

Select a community to browse its collections.

- English
- History
- Language
- Mathematics
- Science
Thank you

Questions? Comments?

William.Moen@unt.edu

http://thecblor.unt.edu/
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